



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/665,036	09/19/2000	Nebojsa Ilic	13297/00002	5475	
7590 07/15/2005 MARSHALL, O'TOOLE, GERSTEIN, MURRAY & BORUN 6300 SEARS TOWER 233 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO, IL 60606-6402			EXAMINER		
			LEITH, PATRICIA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655		
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/665,036	ILIC ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Patricia Leith	1654		

	Patricia Leith	1654	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>28 March 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completely following time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)		RST REPLT WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 June 2005</u> . A brief the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl AMENDMENTS	or any extension thereof (37 CFR	41.37(e)), to avoid di	smissal of the
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, 	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	hecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			because
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	•	,	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or	corresponding number of finally re	icated alaima	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / amonamon	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		. timely filed amendn	nent canceling
the non-allowable claim(s).		,,	
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	-		
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Arguments are not convincing to overcome the prior art			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	· — ·		
13. Other:			
		Patricia Leith Primary Examiner	
	Telli War Bills	Art Unit: 1654	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)